

THE CITY OF DOTHAN



| 100 March 1980 | the state of the s |
|--|--|
| 25 1 30 1 10. | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |
| 100 A C 100 A 100 | (2) 第二章 報題 第 2 2000 |
| Section Control of the Control of th | THE RESERVE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO |
| 2007 - 2000 - 3000 | A COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF |
| 53665-501666666 | |
| BC-125-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00 | |
| 25 C V 40 B 40 B 40 B | |
| 18 3 A A STATE OF THE STATE OF | |
| | |
| 220 3000000000 | |
| Sec. 200 (1997) | |
| | |
| \$22 E-10002550 WS | |
| PENGAD 800:63† | |
| | |
| 100 - 100 NO 100 NO | |
| \$100 A 1 100 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2 | |
| THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF | |
| | |
| 10000 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| $-\lambda$ | OTHAN | | PERIOD COVERED | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 7 | | NAME | DUE IN PERSONNEL | TYPE | STATUS CODE |
| | BRACKIN | MARY E | 04-18-94 | 6 | CA |
| | SSN | DEPARTMENT | | EVAL | JATOR |
| | | YGENERAL ADMIN DEPT | • | | |
| | | JOB TITLE | HIRE | STATUS CHANGE DATE | ANNIVERSARY DATE |
| | 00010 MAGISTRA | TE . | 05-01-92 | | 05/01 |

SECTION I-TO BE COMPLETED BY EVALUATING SUPERVISOR. PLEASE RATE THIS EMPLOYEE (1-4) ON HIS JOB PERFORMANCE ACCORDING TO THE BASIC TASK LIST RATING GUIDE FOR THE POSITION OCCUPIED BY THE EMPLOYEE. COMMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY EACH TASK'S RATINGS OF UNSATISFACTORY, COMMENDABLE OR DISTINGUISHED IN THE SPACE PROVIDED.

1 = UNSATISFACTORY 2 = AT EXPECTED LEVEL 3 = COMMENDABLE 4 = DISTINGUISHED

| | CHEC | K APF | PROPE | RIATE | == . |
|---|------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| BASIC TASK FOR POSITION AS DETAILED ON RATING GUIDE | | RAT | ING | | |
| TASK 1.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth is very efficient, fast, and accurate in the collect and processing of deposits. She is very thorough in preparing | ion | | | \boxtimes | |
| deposits. | | | | | |
| TÄŠK 2.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth uses good judgement in the issuing of warrants/ summons. She is cautious and processes them in a timely and | | | \boxtimes | | |
| efficient manner. TASK 3.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth is efficient and accurate in the overall process | . • | | | <u> </u> | |
| of traffic cases including driving history requests. | | | | \boxtimes | |
| TASK 4.: COMMENTS Mary Beth can be trusted to give correct | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| answers to questions asked by persons in the office and outsic She has improved in her mannerisms to be more professional. | le. | | X | | |
| She maintains all files with accuracy. TASK 5.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth uses very good judgement in the approval of bonds ar | nd | | | | |
| processes them in a very fast and efficient manner. | لــا | | | | ٠. |
| TASK 6.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth uses good judgment in the scheduling of cases so as not to create back-log. She does the majority of Youthful | | | | X | |
| Offender cases with accuracy and in a timely manner. TASK 7: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth assists the Judges with court activities in a | | | | | |
| professional and efficient manner. | | | | M | |
| TASK 8.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth has recently learned the procedures for subpoenas ardriving records. She caught on very quickly and can do this | | | X | | |
| task in the absence of another person. TASK 9.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | . 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth is a very efficient and accurate in all aspects of cash bond processing. | | | | \boxtimes | |
| TASK 10.: COMMENTS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Mary Beth is very conscientious to office procedures and can adapt to changes in policy very well. | | | | X | |
| TOTAL RATING - SECTION I 37 NUMBER OF TASKS RATED ON | | 10 | _ | | 1 |

Confidential Subject to Protective Order

| SECTION IP - TO BE COMPLETED BY EVALUATING | SUPERVISOR. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PATE THE EMPLOYEE, BY CHECKING THE APPR | OPRIATE RATING (1 | - 4), ON EACH FA | ACTOR BELOW. | | | | | |
| LITY OF WORK | QUANTITY OF WORK | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Too many errors; all work must be checked; must often re-do work. | 1 Cannot handle | the volume; slow; usu | ally behind with work. | | | | | |
| 2 Accuracy and quality is satisfactory. | | utput. Produces averag | | | | | | |
| 3 Produces accurate and high quality work. | [3] Rapid worker; own share. | above average output; | often does more than | | | | | |
| 4 Outstanding accuracy and quality; rarely makes errors. | 4 Efficient and e | ffective producer; prod | luces far above average. | | | | | |
| INITIATIVE | JOB KNOWLEDGE | <u></u> | | | | | | |
| Must usually be told exactly what to do. | instruction | Serious gaps in knowledge of job duties; continually needs instruction. | | | | | | |
| 2 Does regular work without prompting. | 2 Has sufficient | knowledge to do job. | | | | | | |
| Proceeds on assigned work voluntarily; often makes constructive suggestions. | 3 More than ade | equate knowledge of jo | b duties. | | | | | |
| 4 Self-starter; makes frequent constructive suggestions. | 4 Thoroughly un | derstands all aspects | of job. | | | | | |
| COOPERATION | DEPENDABILITY | | | | | | | |
| Ineffective in work with others; uncooperative. | 1 Cannot be reli | ed upon, requires cons | stant supervision. | | | | | |
| Gets along well with other people under normal circumstances; relates well to new situations. | 2 Satisfactorily dependable. 3 Generally dependable, and good reliability. 4 Is completely dependable; needs little supervision. | | | | | | | |
| Agreeable, tactful, and obliging; gets along well with all other employees. | | | | | | | | |
| Exceptional team worker; flexible and eager to do a good job. | | - | | | | | | |
| SAFETY CONSCIOUSNESS (Not Applicable) | DEALING WITH THE | PUBLIC (N | lot Applicable) | | | | | |
| Seldom follows safety rules and practices. | 1 Abrasive, unlik | ed by the general public | c; numerous complaints received. | | | | | |
| 2 Usually meets safety requirements. | Works satisfactorily with public; no major complaints received. | | | | | | | |
| 3 Above average in safety consciousness. | Very good working relationship with the public; no problems observe or complaints received. | | | | | | | |
| Always very careful about safety of self, fellow workers and the public. | 4 Excellent rapport with the public; well respected and liked. | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL RATING FOR SECTION II 28 | NUMBER | OF TASKS RATE | D ON | | | | | |
| SECTION III — OVERALL RATING. TO BE COMPL | ETED BY THE EVAL | UATING SUPERV | ISOR. | | | | | |
| TO DETERMINE EMPLOYEE'S OVERALL PERFOTTION II and divide the total rating by the total number of in the space provided. Summary supporting comment (1), Commendable (3) OR Distinguished (4) ratings in top of page 3. Check the appropriate box corresponding of 2.50, check the box for "At Expected Level") Rating Part I 32 + Rating Part II 2 Has employee been promoted, demoted or had mance evaluation form for previous position must be contained. | factors on which the case must be made to a the space provided for the employee's Total Divided by No. a similar position characteristics. | employee was rate accompany Overall r the evaluating su tal Raw Score. (Exa of Task Rated = ange during this ra | d. Write the total raw score Ratings of Unsatisfactory pervisors comments at the ample TOTAL RAW SCORE Total Score 3.62 ating period? If yes, perfor- | | | | | |
| tal raw score as follows: ombined ratings + | | | | | | | | |
| 1.00 — 1.99 | 400 | | 1 | | | | | |
| 1.00 — 1.99 | 4.00 DISTINGUISHED | Total Raw Score | | | | | | |
| | | | l . | | | | | |

PF 102 REV 4-93 (5th edition)

DOTHAN/Martin & Brackin 0979 Confidential Subject to Protective Order

iy£.

2

This section is to be completed by the department head and/or the City Manager. See also Section VII on page 4 for additional Department Head actions.

COMMENTS:

APPROVING AUTHORITY JOB TITLE

SECTION VI - EMPLOYEE JOB PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REVIEW AND INTERVIEW SESSION

This section is to be completed by the employee and the evaluating supervisor.

Employee comments on Job Performance Evaluation and interview session:

for cur

EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE

Date of Performance Interview Session:

war Kellenberger **Evaluating Supervisor's Signature:**

> DOTHAN/Martin & Brackin 0980 **Confidential Subject to Protective**

Order

| | | L PERSONNEL | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

section is to be completed by the Department Head before this form is returned to the Evaluating Supervisor for employee's Performance Interview Session. (Refer to SECTION VI on page 3 of this form).

All forms required by this section must be completed and returned to the Personnel Department with this evaluation form by the due date shown on the first page.

IS THIS EMPLOYEE BEING RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT? YES ____

If NO, explain fully by attaching additional supporting documentation citing reasons.

If YES, and this is a TYPE C or TYPE F probationary evaluation, complete a Status Change Form (PF#101) giving the employee Regular Status.

SECTION VIII — TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT

Date/Type of Next Evaluation __

Raw Score 5.61

Raw Average 3.45

RS Effective Date _

_____ AS400 _

SECTION IX — INFORMATION ITEMS

EMPLOYEE STATUS CODES

CA - Regular Full Time

CB - Probationary Full Time

CC - Part-Time

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION TYPES

G - Annual Performance Evaluation

6 Month Probation

A - Probation I

(end of 1st 3 months) (end of 2nd 3 months)

C - Probation II/Regular Status

12 Month Probation

A - Probation I

(end of 1st 3 months)

D - Probation II

(end of 2nd 3 months)

E - Probation III

(end of 3rd 3 months)

- Probation IV/Regular Status

(end of 4th 3 months)

SECTION 7.325 EVALUATIONS

SA - end of 1st 2 months

SB - end of 2nd 2 months

SC - end of 3rd 2 months

DOTHAN/Martin & Brackin 0981 **Confidential Subject to Protective** Order

